No.



9500284

THE UNITED SHAMES OF AMIERIOA

TO ALE TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Colorndo State Unibersity

Therens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of twenty years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or conditioning it for propagation, or ocking it for any of the above purposes, or using it in producing a hybrid or different ty therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. in itted States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as a class of seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations specified by the owner of 24 stat. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

WHEAT

'Akron'

In Testimonn Thereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Hant Buriety Frotection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirtieth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-six.

Allest:

Marsha de Stinton

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Auricultural Marketina Service

Socretary of Agriculture

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all	ll reproducti	ons.		FORM APPROVED - OMB NO. 0581-00		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE DIVISION - PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE		The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a).				
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE (Instructions and information collection burden statement on reverse)			Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protect certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confident until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).			
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	ent on reve	rse)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME		
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY			CO 880169	Akron		
			·			
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code, and Coun	ıtry)		6. TELEPHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences Colorado State University		ুল হার	970-491-6483	9500284		
Fort Collins, CO 80523			6. FAX (include area code)	[PATE 28, 1794		
			970-491-0564	79500284		
7. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	B. FAMILY N	IAME (Botanio	ral)	G HUNG AND EXAMINATION FEE		
Triticum aestivum L.	Grami	nae		: 2450.00		
9. CROP KIND NAME (Common name)	-1,,			E DATE		
Wheat, common				: aug 28 1995		
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON", GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZA COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY	TION (corporation	on, partnershij	o, association, etc.) (Common name)	CERTIFICATION FEE		
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			12. DATE OF INCORPORATION	E DATE		
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERV	VE IN THIS APP	LICATION A	NO RECEIVE ALL PAPERS	14. TELEPHONE (include area code)		
James S. Quick		V.		970-491-6483		
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences				3.0 132 0.193		
Colorado State University	•			15. FAX (include area code)		
Fort Collins, CO 80523				970-491-0564		
16. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow in	structions on re-	versel	 	1 370 131 0304		
a. BE Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety						
b. A Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness		:				
c. R Exhibit C. Objective Description of the Variety						
d. (A) Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Variety			•			
e. ADExhibit E. Statement of the Basis of the Applicant's Ownership						
f. NO Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds or, for tuber propagated g. No Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,450), made payable to "Treasurer of the				ed in a public repository)		
17. DOPO THE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		on 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Actj?		
YES OF "yes," answer items gand 19 19911 AND DEN IT		Of "no," go to		on estat or the riant variety Protection Actyr		
18. DOES THE APPLICANT SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LITTED A GENERATIONS?	TO NUMBER	OF 19. 1	F "YES" TO ITEM 18, WHICH CLASSES	OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?		
DYES NO. F. M. U.	Zp Wood		A FOUNDATION REGISTER	ED CERTIFIED		
20. HAS THE VARIETY OR A HYBRID PRODUCED FROM THE WARIETY BEEN RELE	ASED, USED, O	FFERED FOR				
	99 4"	A	t \$5 Mar 1996	red come of the life		
21. The applicant(s) declare that a viable sample of basic seed of the variety will be t	furnished with a	pplication and	will be replenished upon request in acco	rdance with such regulations as may be		
applicable, or for a tuber propagated variety a tissue culture will be deposited in The undersigned applicant(s) is(are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced or to Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the	uber properated	plant variety	and helievelet that the variety is new of	istinct, Uniform, and stable as required in		
Applicant(s) is larely informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protein IGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	ction and result	T	OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))			
Dam Tail W	·					
AME IF INDESE PRINT OF TYPE!		NAME (Ploa:	e print or type)			
JAMES S. Quick		<u> </u>				
APACITY OR TITLE DATE		CAPACITY (PR TITLE	DATE		
Professor 15A	16-95	-				

EXHIBIT A - BREEDING HISTORY

Geneology - 'Akron', PI 584504, was selected from the cross 'TAM107'/'Hail' made in 1984. TAM107 was released by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station in 1984 and has been the predominant cultivar grown in Colorado during 1989-1995. Hail was released by the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station in 1982 and has hail resistance due to its very lax spike.

The breeding method used was a modified pedigree method. The F_1 - F_5 generations were grown in the field at Fort Collins in 1984-1988. Akron is an F_4 -derived line bulked in 1988 and tested as CO880169. It was later purified for plant type by the selection of 60 head rows from the $F_{4:7}$ generation to form the source of breeder seed.

Akron has been tested in Colorado yield nurseries since 1989, and in the Southern Regional Performance Nursery in 1993 and 1994. Approximately 150 pounds of breeder seed were produced in 1993. The breeders seed was planted under irrigation in 1993 and about 320 bushels of foundation seed was harvested in 1994. Akron was entered in the small scale milling and baking trials and evaluated by the Hard Wheat Quality Advisory Committee in January 1993 and 1994. The cultivar was named and released in August 1994, and the foundation seed distributed to seed producers in September 1994.

Under an isolated reproduction system the cultivar Akron has no known variants. Akron has been observed in field tests for five generations and is stable to the best of our knowledge with respect to genetic change caused by mutations or heterozygosity.

EXHIBIT B - STATEMENT OF DISTINCTNESS

Akron was released because of high grain yield, resistance to leaf rust (incited by <u>Puccinia recondita</u> Roberge ex Desmaz.), and improved bread-baking quality. It is most similar in general appearance to 'Hail', but can be easily distinguished from Hail because it heads about four days earlier. It has a very lax spike from spike emergence to maturity, probably contributing to its hail tolerance. It is similar to its parent TAM107 in grain size and shape, but has superior baking characteristics. It is similar to TAM107 in leaf size, color, and carriage but has leaf rust resistance, tillering ability, and lax spike from its parent Hail.

Information for 'Akron'

- 1. Akron was officially released by signature of the Director of the Agricultural Experiment Station on August 16, 1994 (copy enclosed) and public information distributed in June 1995. I filed the application for Akron on August 15, 1995 so I consider Akron eligible for protection. In actuality, Akron was not released to the public until August 1, 1995 since only ten seed growers obtained seed for multiplication in 1994. I do not know when the application reached your office because I was on leave at CIMMYT in Mexico. I failed to complete items 17-20 on the PVP application, so I have attached a revised copy.
- 2. Novelty of Akron: The clear difference between Akron and Jules is about 4 days difference in days to heading. In 3 years at Fort Collins, 1993, 1994, and 1995, Akron averaged 152 days to heading and Jules averaged 156 days (LSD.05 = 2.3). The clear difference between Akron and Halt is the resistance of Halt to RWA.
- 3. Exhibit A Breeding history: More than 20 selection criteria were used in the development of Akron, the major ones being: lax spike, early maturity, semidwarf height, wheat streak mosaic resistance, and winter hardiness.
- 4. Exhibit B Novelty: see item 2. In 3 years at Fort Collins, 1993, 1994, and 1995, Akron averaged 152 days to heading and Jules averaged 156 days (LSD.05 = 2.3). Information on the cultivar Hail is provided on Exhibit C as requested. Please note that auricle anthocyanin is present on both Akron and Hail until late jointing stage.
- 5. Exhibit E Ownership: the following revised statement is provided: "The cultivar Akron, for which Plant Variety Protection is hereby sought, was developed by a Colorado State University (CSU) team led by Dr. James S. Quick, an employee of CSU. By agreement between Dr. Quick and CSU, all rights to all cultivars developed by him while employed by CSU were assigned to CSU."
- 6. Milling & Baking Data: Milling and baking data are provided in ν a table as requested.



Department of Soil and Crop Sciences Fort Gollins, Golorado 80523-1170 (970) 491-6517 FAX: (970) 491-0564

February 13, 1996

Dr. Alan Atchley Plant Variety Protection Office NAL Building, Room 500 10301 Baltimore Blvd. Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

Dear Dr. Atchley:

SUBJECT: PVP Application No. 9500284 Wheat 'Akron'

Thank you for your letter of January 19 requesting additional information for the PVP applications for the wheat 'Akron'. The requested information is provided below.

Information for differentiation between 'Akron' and 'Hail'

I am sorry to have neglected the issue of maturity data. The difference between Akron and Hail is about 4 days difference in days to heading. In 2 years at Fort Collins, 1993 and 1994, Akron averaged 150 days to heading and Hail averaged 154 days. We made the following conclusions from the statistical analyses using data for five cultivars:

1. The cultivars Akron and Hail were statistically different in each of the two years of comparisons:

	<u> 1993</u>	<u> 1994</u>	<u>Mean</u>
Akron	152	148	150
Hail	156	152	154
LSD 0.05	0.61	1.39	
CV %	0.29	0.58	

2. The coefficients of variation were very low and typical for data on days to heading at Fort Collins; hence, comparisons of F values for homogeneity of variances were not considered useful.

Please notify me if you need further information. Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

James S. Quick

Professor

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE COMMODITIES SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse, WITEAT (TRITICUM	\$PP.)
HAME OF APPLICANTS	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Gode)	PYPO NUMBER 9500284
DE PARTMENT OF SOIL AND CRUP SCIENCE	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY	
FORT COLLINS CO80523	AKRON
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this v Place a zero in first box (e.s. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when number is either 9	ariety in the boxes below. 9 or less or 9 or less.
I. KINDs	
	6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE:	SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify)
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) Z 2	HARD
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	
3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
253 FIRST FLOWERING	9 LAST FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
O NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN 2 1	= ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	# LEMHI S # NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From sell level to top of head):	
09/ cm. High	
CM. TALLER THAN	
14 CM. SHORTER THAN	= ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
A DIAUT COLOR AT ROSENIA	= LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
7	YELLOW 2 * PURPLE
8. STEM:	
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 WAX	y bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Inte	reodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
05 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground) 23	CM INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW
P. AURICLES:	1
Authocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT / Hair	iness: = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
O. LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED Flag booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	i leal: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
	bloom of fing leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
18 MM, LEAF WIDTH (First load below flag 1000) 26	CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below fiel leaf):

· i		•	· ·	
11. HEAD: Density: 1 = LA;	x 2 = DENSE Verylax	Shape: I = TA		
4 Awnedness: 1 = 4			HER (Specify)	-
		3 = AWNLETED 4 = AV	VNED	
Color at maturity:	1 = WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 5 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = 01	4 = REO HER (Specity):		
10 CM. LENGTH	en e	10 MM. WIDTH		
12. GLUMES AT MATU	RITY:			
2 Length: 1 = SHOR	2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) G (CA. 9 mm.)	1 / 1	ROW(CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM(CA. 3.5 (CA. 4 mm.)	S mr
Shoulder 1 = WAN shape: 4 = SQU	ITING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED ARE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULAT	E 3 Веак: 1= овти	SE 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE	
13. COLEOPTILE COLO	OR: 100 Per Service Services	14. SEEDLING ANTHO	CYANIN.	
1 = WHITE 2 =	RED 3=PURPLE	I = ABSENT	and the first of the second of	<i>r</i> ·
15. JUVENILE PLANT G	ROWTH HABIT:			
Z 1 = PROSTRATE	2 = 5EMI-ERECT 3 = ER	ECT		
16. SEED:				1.5
Shape: 1 = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	/ Cheek: I = ROUN	IDEO 2 = ANGULAR	
Brush, 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	Brush: 1 = NOT	COLLARED 2 = COLLARED	1 1. 10 1.
Phenol reaction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BRO 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK			i v
3 Color: 1 = WHITE		S = OTHER (Specify)	ting graph of the second of the light of the	ī
06 MM. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	3 5 GM. PER 100	0 SEEDS	7
17. SEED CREASE:		· ·		
3 Vidih: 1 = 602 OR	LESS OF KERNEL WINOKA	/ Depth: 1 = 20% C	OR LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'	
2 = 80% OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		R LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'	Ţ
	AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMH!'	J = 50% O	R LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'	è
STEM RUST	ted, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)			-
Reces) MIX	Ture 2 LEAF RUST MIXTURE	STRIPE RUST	O LOOSE SMUT	
POWDERY MILDEW	BUNT	OTHER (Specify)	All and the second seco	
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Test	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	:		
SAWFLY	APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG	CEREAL LEAF BEETLE	i ·
OTHER (Specify)	Wheat Aphil HESSIAN ELV	O GP DA		. "
	RACES: ([O]B [O]C	
		000 0 8	U, 0.	
. INDICATE WHICH YARI	ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S			-
Plant tillering	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	_
Leaf size	HAIL UC: UN 8C		TAM 107	
Leaf color	7Am 107	Seed shape	TAM 107	_ :
Leaf carriage	TAM 107	Coleoptile elongation	THAIL.	-
	TAM 1000Ad-SW	7-VASA of Sherion	14111	-
	ATTEM TO CENT	S PALLIVS		

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

⁽a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.

⁽b) W.E. Walls, 1965. A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysis. (See attachment.)

EXHIBIT D - ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION

The cultivar Akron has been released because of its performance data in Colorado tests. In four years of dryland testing in the Colorado Variety Trial (30 location-years), Akron's grain yield (2680 kg ha¹) was 3% lower than TAM107, and 2% higher than 'Yuma'. In three years of testing in the irrigated Colorado Variety Trial (7 location-years), Akron was 2, 5, and 6% higher yielding than 'TAM200', Yuma, and TAM107, respectively. Akron had 3 and 2% higher yields than TAM107 at Colorado locations of the 1993 and 1994 Southern Regional Performance Nursery, respectively. Akron is 5 cm taller than Yuma and TAM107 and 10 cm shorter than 'Lamar', a conventional height wheat. Akron has a shorter coleoptile (85 mm) than TAM107 (95 mm). Akron is recommended for all production areas in Colorado where the Russian wheat aphid (Diuraphis noxia, Mordvilko) is not a significant threat.

The grain volume weight of Akron is similar to TAM107 and Yuma and less than Lamar. The winter hardiness of Akron is adequate for Colorado growing conditions, and is equal to Lamar. Akron is a medium-early cultivar, 3 d later in anthesis than TAM107 and 3 d earlier than 'Jules'. The straw strength of Akron is equal to TAM107 and should be adequate for both dryland and irrigated conditions in Colorado. Akron is resistant to the prevalent races of leaf rust and moderately resistant to prevalent races of stem rust (incited by P. graminis Pers.:Pers.). Based on field observations for incidence of wheat streak mosaic virus, Akron is moderately susceptible.

Based on composite samples from several Colorado locations, the wheat and flour protein of Akron is similar to TAM107 and less than Lamar. Akron has strong mixing characteristics as determined by the mixograph. In Colorado and regional milling and baking tests, Akron has been similar in overall quality to Lamar, a high quality standard, and superior to TAM107. The kernels of Akron have been classified by the Federal Grain Inspection Service as hard red winter wheat.

EXHIBIT E - OWNERSHIP

The applicant is the developer and true owner of this cultivar, and is the employer of the breeding team.

Wheat Quality Comparisons 1990-1993

			Dough*			
	% Protein	% H ₂ O Abs.	Mix Time	Volume	<u>Loaf**</u> Crumb Grain	Baking Score
		1993 Wheat (Quality (Council	. .	
AKRON	11.5	3.4	4.0	2.8	3.4	3.1
HALT	12.2	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.2	3.3
TAM 107	11.0	3.7	3.0	2.7	2.2	2.1
Lamar	12.4	3.7	3.4	2.6	2.8	2.9
		1992 Wheat Q	uality (Council		
		***		***	***	***
AKRON	11.8	3.0	3.5	3.5	2.9	3.1
Jules	11.1	3.1	3.4	3.0	2.9	3.0
TAM 107	11.3	3.4	2.8	3.1	2.2	2.3
Lamar	12.4	3.6	3.3	3.6	2.8	3.1
		1991 CSU Lai	- Akro	n Seed		
	·				***	
AKRON	9.3	-	4.1	805	2.6	_
Jules	11.7	-	4.2	840	2.2	-
TAM 107	9.6	-	2.9	795	2.4	
Yuma	12.2		4.9	820	2.4	-
Lamar	11.0	· _	4.0	845	2.6	_

1993 CSU Lab - 3 Locations

		H2O		Bread		Mix
Location	Variety	Absorp.	Vol.	Grain	Tex.	Time
F Coll.	Lamar	64	680	VG	VG	3.4
fī	TAM 107	64	680	G+	G+	3.0
#	HALT	64	730	VG	Ex	2.5
Akron	Lamar	64	855	G-	Ex	3.1
n	TAM 107	64	820	G	VG	3.2
	HALT	64	1000	G-	Ex	2.6
Burl.	Lamar	64	810	G	Ex	3.0
. н	TAM 107	64	755	G	VG	3.0
Ħ	HALT	64	825	G-	VG	2.2

Dough mix time is time to curve peak on mixograph.

Loaf volume is in cubic centimeters; crumb grain is 1 to 6 score.

1 to 6 score, 6 = superior